UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,541	07/25/2007	Ueli Sulser	705227-2001	4491
	7590 01/04/201 CCUTCHEN LLP	1	EXAM	INER
Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
San Francisco,	CA 94111-4007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,541	SULSER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roberto Rabago	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder in the provision of	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: .136(a). In no event, however, may a lid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Ali	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 (2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 and 25-45 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 and 25-45 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	<b>)</b>
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/593,541 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-16, 18, 25-39 and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the reasons set forth in item 3(a) of the Office action mailed 7/21/2010.

## **Double Patenting**

- 2. Claims 1-15, 17, 18, 25-37 and 40-45 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 12/311,730 for the reasons set forth in item 5 of the Office action mailed 7/21/2010.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the definiteness issue, applicants argue that "the upper and lower limits of this range are defined by the process that is claimed," and that the process encompasses any temperature below 100°C that provides the polymer P. This argument is not accepted because it provides no clarity to the intended scope of "significantly below 100°C", and furthermore argues for limitations which are not found in the claims. Contrary to applicants' assertion, the claimed range is not defined by the process that is claimed, because the balance of the process limitations do not include

any mention of the temperature of the second step. The temperature is stated as a required process variable, and therefore it must be defined in a sufficiently clear manner so that one of ordinary skill in the art can determine the meets and bounds of the claims. If applicants' intended the claimed scope to be <u>any</u> temperature below 100 ℃, as asserted in their remarks, then the claims should have been originally drafted to reflect that meaning. However, the claims <u>do not</u> permit the second step to be performed at any temperature below 100 ℃, but only at temperatures in a range "significantly below 100°C", for which no clear definition exists.

Page 3

Regarding the double patenting rejection, applicants argue that the rejection should be withdrawn because the copending application is later-filed. However, since the instant claims are rejected on other grounds, the rejection will not be withdrawn at this time.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/593,541 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rabago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roberto Rabago/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762